Case 1:08-cv-10934-KBF Document 816 Filed 09/09/13 Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	. - X
IN RE 650 FIFTH AVENUE AND RELATED PROPERTIES	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
	: : X

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONIC	ALLY	FILED	
DOC #:			
DATE FILED:	SEP	0 9 2013	
	OLI	0 0 2010	

08 Civ. 10934 (KBF) and all member and related cases

ORDER

KATHERINE B. FORREST, District Judge:

At the September 4, 2013, final pretrial conference, the Court ruled that the Government would not be permitted to "claw back" two documents – Exhibits A and B to the letter of Mr. Ruzumna dated August 28, 2013 – that the Government now states are privileged but were inadvertently disclosed to claimants. The Court deemed that the Government had waived any assertion of privilege with respect to Exhibit A, as that document had been used in a prior deposition without objection. However, the Court permitted the Government to move for reconsideration as to Exhibit B, which was not used in any deposition.

The Court has now reviewed the Government's letter motion for reconsideration dated September 6, 2013, and the Alavi response of September 8, 2013. The Government's briefing demonstrates that Exhibit B contains information regarding ongoing and future investigations that is absent from Exhibit A. As such, the Court finds that Exhibit B is subject to the deliberative process privilege. The Court further finds that the Government has not waived privilege by failure to object to claimants' use of the document or failure to do so in a timely manner.

Case 1:08-cv-10934-KBF Document 816 Filed 09/09/13 Page 2 of 2

Rather, the Protective Order governing this matter and the normal procedures

under the Federal Rules mandate that, absent a waiver, privileged documents

inadvertently produced are subject to "claw back". It is therefore

ORDERED that claimants shall return Exhibit B to the Government; its use

by any party is precluded. Further, claimants shall ensure that any docket entries

including or mentioning the substance of Exhibit B are redacted. The Court's ruling

that the Government waived its privilege with respect to Exhibit A stands.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

New York, New York

September <u>9</u>, 2013

KATHERINE B. FORREST

United States District Judge

2